

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/038,271		10/23/2001	Frits Jacobus Fallaux	3833.6US 8381		
24247	7590	02/11/2004		EXAM	INER	
TRASK BRITT				NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG		
P.O. BOX	2550	·				
SALT LA	KE CITY,	UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1632		

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/038,271	FALLAUX ET AL.						
			Examiner	Art Unit						
			Dave T Nguyen	1632	1					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUN IN IN IN IT IN	IICATION. is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period w by will, by statute,	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 23 Oc	ctober 2003.							
			action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 30-38</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 30-38</u> is/are rejected.									
	•									
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.							
	on Papers									
9)[	)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23/10/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
a)[	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/793,170.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.										
14)⊠ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
144b	(-)									
Attachment(s)  Description: Attachment(s)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)										
) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Flation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) Notice of Informal Pa							
August 15 to										

Application/Control Number: 10/038,271

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 7-29, and 39 have been canceled by the amendment dated October 23, 2003.

Claims 1-6, and 30-38 are pending.

Applicant's response has been considered by the examiner. Mainly, the clarification of the restriction response is correct, and the response to the objection is found persuasive. However, the ODP issue remains pending because while applicant indicates in the response that the Terminal Disclaimer has been filed, the TD has not been filed at the time this action is prepared.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 1-6, 30-38 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of US 5,994,128, claims 1-14 of US 6,306,652.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: The examined claims encompass a method of employing adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers. Given that claims 1-20 of the '128 patent and claims 1-14 of the '652 patent are drawn to essentially the same concept of utilizing a system comprising a primary cell consisting essentially of adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers, the examined claims and the claims from either the patent are obvious variants of one another.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Dave Nguyen* whose telephone number is **571-272-0731** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Amy Nelson*, may be reached at **571-272-0184** 

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Group receptionist* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0196**.

Dave Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

> DO GT. NGUYEN PREMARY EXAMINER